

1 DRAFT Proposal for a National Spatial Data Infrastructure Standards Project

2

3 Project Title: FGDC Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata: Extensions for Remote

4 Sensing Metadata

5

6 Date of Proposal: October 21, 1998

7

8 Type of Standard: Data Usability Standard – user-defined extensions to FGDC Content Standard

9 for Digital Spatial Metadata

10

11 Submitting Organization: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)

12

13 Point of Contact: Benjamin Kobler, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Mail Code 423,

14 Greenbelt, MD 20771. Phone: 301-614-5231. Electronic mail: ben.kobler@gsfc.nasa.gov.

15

16 OBJECTIVES: The purpose of this proposal is to provide extensions to the *Federal Geographic*

17 *Data Committee (FGDC) Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata* (also referred to

18 hereafter as the *Metadata Content Standard*) for metadata describing geospatial data obtained

19 from remote sensing. Efforts will be made to make these extensions consistent with the ISO

23 SCOPE: These extensions will define content standards for metadata not defined in the
24 *Metadata Content Standard* that are needed for describing data obtained from remote sensing.
25 They will include metadata describing the observing geometry, the sensor, and the method and
26 process of deriving geospatial information from raw telemetry. In addition, metadata to describe
27 granules, the individual files or images that collectively make up a data product, will be defined.

28

29 JUSTIFICATION: Proper use of remote sensing data requires an understanding of how those
30 data were obtained. While ground-based data are often compiled from existing data sources
31 without change of form or are obtained by direct *in situ* measurement, deriving geospatial data
32 from the measurements made by remote sensing instruments is often much less direct. To do so
33 may require knowledge of the observing geometry, the instrument behavior, and the processing
34 methods and history. In addition, remote sensing measurements produce large volumes of data,
35 and users typically do not access the entire data set, only selected files or frames.

36

37 Information about the viewing geometry and the properties and behavior of the instrument in the
38 FGDC *Metadata Content Standard* is limited to the description of the number of points along the
39 raster axes. The draft ISO metadata standard also includes solar elevation and azimuth angles
40 and the angle of an image to the vertical. However, many user needs a more detailed viewing
41 geometry: satellite orbit or aircraft flight path, platform orientation, and orientation of

46 including its dependence on wavelength and time, is usually required. A standard description of
47 such metadata should be defined.

48

49 Processing of remote sensing data passes through several stages. The instrument calibration
50 must be applied to the readings communicated by the raw telemetry and the resulting physical
51 measurements located geographically. In some cases, what the instruments measure is not the
52 final product; for example, radiation measurements may be used to infer temperatures. Maps
53 and grids may be generated from data at individual points. Information on the algorithms used to
54 for these steps should accompany the data. In addition, information about the processing itself,
55 such as what stage a given processing represents, or which version of processing is represented,
56 is needed. The FGDC *Metadata Content Standard* allows for this information an entry for
57 lineage, which the draft ISO standard has expanded this item to an entire section on lineage
58 information, but in both cases the content is unspecified free text. These extensions will define
59 the specific items that are needed in remote sensing metadata.

60

61 The dataset containing results from a remote sensing mission is large and heterogeneous.
62 Necessary descriptive metadata may not apply to the entire dataset, but only to individual
63 pictures or files. While the FGDC *Metadata Content Standard* has no specific provision for such
64 granularity, the informative Appendix F to the ISO draft provides but does not define granule-

68 BENEFITS: Adoption of these extensions will broaden the applicability of the *Metadata Content*
69 *Standard* to include metadata needed to describe geospatial data derived from remote sensing.
70 Making this standard directly relevant to the remote sensing community will encourage its use.
71 There will be less chance that future producers of remote sensing data will see the *Metadata*
72 *Content Standard* as inapplicable to their needs and develop separate standards.

73

74 APPROACH: Data standardization and modeling are major research issues within the Earth
75 Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS) development process. Results of this
76 research, combined with comments from scientists around the world, from the EOSDIS Data
77 Model Working Group, and from Earth Science Data and Information System (ESDIS) staff,
78 have been developed into metadata for the EOSDIS Core System (ECS). These metadata are
79 described in the *Proposed ECS Core Metadata Standard*. This document defines metadata in
80 several areas in the scope of the extensions to be developed and will be used as a basis of the
81 extensions covering those areas. The *Moderate-Resolution Imaging Radiometer (MODIS) Level*
82 *1A Earth Location: Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document* has a detailed discussion of the
83 information and process required to derive positions in geographical coordinates given spacecraft
84 and instrument position and orientation. That discussion will serve as the basis for the definition
85 of viewing geometry metadata. As the proposed extensions are to be developed following FGDC
86 prescriptions, development and adoption is to proceed through the FGDC Standards Working

91

92

93 RELATED STANDARDS: This standard is intended as extended elements of the *FGDC*
94 *Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata*. It will follow the prescriptions of Appendix
95 D of that Standard, which specifies the requirements for extended elements.

96 ISO/ Technical Committee 211, Working Group 3 is developing an international standard for
97 metadata; the current draft is ISO/CD 15046-15. When development of that standard is
98 complete, it is likely to be considered for adoption by FGDC, superseding those parts of the
99 current standard where there is overlap. The ISO standard also has a recommended extension
100 methodology, in Appendix E. The information there will be used to guide the process of
101 development of these extensions to the metadata standard. Extensions to the current FGDC
102 standard covering areas in the ISO standard not in the FGDC standard will be constructed to be
103 compatible with the ISO standard.

104

105 As noted in the section on approach, the *ECS Core Metadata Standard*, which covers many of
106 the areas in the scope of these extensions, will be used where relevant as a basis for the FGDC
107 codification.

108

109 SCHEDULE: Submission of proposal to FGDC/SWG: November 1998

114

115 POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS: Through the Mission to Planet Earth, NASA already involves
116 many diverse groups in the remote sensing community. The continuing standards work for
117 ESDIS has provided considerable insight into the requirements of these groups. Other federal
118 agencies that produce large quantities of remote sensing data, such as the National Oceanic and
119 Atmospheric Administration, the National Imagery and Mapping Agency, and the U. S.
120 Geological Survey, may also participate in development of the standard. Contributions will be
121 solicited from the academic remote sensing community.

122

123 TARGET AUTHORIZATION BODY: The proposed extensions are not specifically targeted for
124 consideration by any authorizing agency other than FGDC. However, as efforts to bring the
125 FGDC standard into consistency with the ISO standard proceed, efforts may be made to gain ISO
126 endorsement as well.

127