

1. What's the problem (issue) that the standard is trying to address?

The issue may be inferred from the scope and objective, as stated in Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards - Part 2: Standards for Geodetic Networks, [http://www.fgdc.gov/standards/projects/FGDC-standards-projects/accuracy/part2/index\\_html](http://www.fgdc.gov/standards/projects/FGDC-standards-projects/accuracy/part2/index_html).

What are the complementary standards (voluntary or accepted) that support this standard?

*These should be identified in the introduction to the standard*

- a. If the standard refers to other standards, have the referenced standards changed in a way that requires changes to this standard? *No.*
- b. Since this standard was adopted or last reviewed, have new standards been adopted elsewhere that should be referenced in this standard?

*ISO 19113:2002, Geographic information -- Quality principles*  
*ISO 19114:2003, Geographic information -- Quality evaluation procedures*  
*ISO 19114/Cor. 1, Geographic information -- Quality evaluation procedures -- Corrigendum 1*  
*ISO/DTS 19138, Geographic information – Data quality measures*

2. What standard(s) does this FGDC standard support?

*Spatial Data Transfer Standard*  
*Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata*

3. Are the standards in active use?

*No. It is impractical to compute local and network accuracy until the next adjustment of the National Spatial Reference System is completed in 2007.*

4. Is the standard a 'Government Unique Standard'? *Yes.*

- a. If so, has it been examined to see if Voluntary Consensus Standards might now be in place?
  - i. If a corresponding Voluntary Consensus Standard exists, should the Consensus standard be considered for adoption to replace the existing standard?

*No existing Voluntary Consensus Standard can replace this standard.*

- ii. If a corresponding Voluntary Consensus Standard does not exist, should this standard be moved to a national standard?

*NGS is "sheepish" about advancing standard as an American National Standard until it is actively used.*

- b. Is it appropriate to remain in FGDC? Why or why not?

*NGS is satisfied that it remain in FGDC.*

5. Who are the important stakeholders that need input into the review of this standard?
- Which Federal agencies in addition to the agency with maintenance authority should the review committee include?
  - Which non-Federal agencies should the review committee include?

*No mature user community among Federal users or anyone.*

6. Are there editorial errors that you are aware of since this FGDC standard was endorsed?

*Yes, as noted in comments. Edits might have incorporated.*

7. Are there technical errors or technical changes that you are aware since this FGDC standard was endorsed?

*Yes, as noted in comments.*

Review of FGDC standards program of work  
Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards - Part 2: Standards for Geodetic Networks

Based on your answers to the above, the sponsor team recommends the following:

1. The standard to be issued with no modifications.

Justification:

2. The standard to be revised.

Justification:

*Editorial comments.*

*Specifically: NGS to submit revised standard, while Maitra has action to submit revised cover pages.*

3. The standard to be changed.

Justification:

4. The standard to be withdrawn.

Justification: