## 2019 NAIP

John Mootz APFO, Imagery Program Manager





### Bottom Line Up Front

- For planning purposes, FSA is considering a licensed data model for NAIP starting in FY19
- Removing NAIP from the public domain will have possible impacts to other agencies





**Aerial Photography Field Office** 

- **□** NAIP Background
- ☐ Licensed data model

□ Path forward



## "Abridged" NAIP History

- FSA initiated program which started in 2003 (piloted in 2002)
- Originally CLU only, 2m annual coverage
- Quickly extended to full-state 3-year cycle 1m collection with cost-share partners paying the difference
- Technology and automation has reduced unit cost; allowing 2 year cycle
  - Average of 51% of CONUS acquired annually since 2008
- 2018 Budget reductions are forcing collection to a 3-year cycle
  - Estimated cost share: \$7.85M FSA and \$1.55M FS/NRCS/DOI each



## **FSA Imagery Requirements**

- FSA conducted a imagery requirements workshop in May 2015
- Top-level requirements summary
  - 30-50cm ground resolution
  - High horizontal accuracy
  - Image quality
  - Known acquisition date at "farm" level
  - Annual CONUS collection (three-year cycle maximum before noticeable mission impacts)
  - Acquired during peak agriculture growth
  - 4-band imagery (natural color and false color)
  - ....total of 19 ranked and 7 non-rankable requirements



## Data Rights Requirements

- The imagery workshop did not evaluate data rights requirements
  - FSA uses both public domain and licensed data for its geospatial needs
- FSA is currently assessing all public facing applications and program requirements to ensure data rights requirements are documented
- To date, FSA has not identified any regulatory or statutory requirements that mandate the release of NAIP dataset to the general public



## Partner Induced Program Risk

- Cost-share partners have been \$3.1M short over the past few years
  - FSA cannot continue to subsidized other agencies commitments
- Delays in releasing funding from cost-share partners forces contract awards past "peak agriculture growth" season
  - All 2017 partnership funding was released after June 1
  - Delayed in funding partly caused by CRA and the increase timelines due FITARA
- Based on past partner's funding history, NAIP collection will be extended past FSA's minimum 3-years cycle
  - FSA is currently depended on cost-share partners in meeting pubic domain imagery requirements



#### **Risk Reduction Alternates**

Alternate	Program Risk	Partner Induced Risk	Cost Risk	Meets 3-yr cycle
Increase partnerships	High (tried countless times over the life of program)	High (no change in current model)	Low (if fully funded)	Yes
Remove full state coverage (Ag land only)	Low	N/A	Medium (will lose economy of scale)	Likely
Collect "High Ag" states only	Low	N/A	Low	No
COTS (licensed data)	Low	N/A	Low	Yes



## Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS)

- Only low risk approach that meets FSA's 3-year cycle requirement
  - Eliminates all partner induced risk
- Based on market research, FSA can reduce its cost by half
  - Based on a USDA-only license
  - May reduce contract administrative cost (cost-share partners do not pay admin fees currently)
- Licensed data cannot be shared with other departments or public
- Other departments would have to fully fund their license
- Moving NAIP to a licensed data model will likely impact other agencies



## COTS Approach (con't)

- FSA is examining internal data rights requirements to ensure the licensed data model is acceptable at the agency level
- 2018 NAIP acquisition strategy was changed to a single year procurement action to allow possible COTS approach in 2019
- Notified cost-share partners through bi-weekly meetings
- Briefed NDOP of potential change during 2017 Fall Meeting
  - Action item assigned to all members to identify agency impacts
- Will start briefing FSA leadership on recommendation early next CY
  - Final decision needed by May 1, 2018 to support procurement lead time



#### Path Forward

- Likely to have significant political "blow back"
  - Collecting impact statements from other agencies to allow FSA leadership a clear understanding before they make the final decision
  - Reducing FSA spending while meeting or maintain mission requirements is defendable
- NAIP is an important dataset in the A-16 Imagery Theme and removing the dataset from public domain will have considerable impacts
  - Ranked #5 on the Earth Observation Assessment (EOA)
- A Notice in the Federal Register will likely need to be posted
  - Does FGDC want to take lead with the notice and provide a consolidated response to FSA?



# Questions?

