



**Federal Geographic Data Committee
Coordination Group Meeting
Tuesday, January 13, 2015
9:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m. Eastern**

Location:

**Main Interior Building, Rachel Carson Room
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, DC**

FINAL AGENDA

9:00 – 9:10	Welcome, Workshop Overview and Expectations	<i>Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS</i>
9:10 – 9:55	Where We Are today <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation and discussion	<i>Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS</i>
9:55 – 10:40	Current Body of Work <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation and discussion	<i>Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS</i>
10:40 – 11:00	Break	
11:00 – 12:00	Future Activities <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Brainstorming and develop recommendations	<i>Travis Hardy, ArdenMC</i>
12:00 – 1:00	Lunch	
1:00 – 3:00	Future Activities (continued)	<i>Travis Hardy, ArdenMC</i>
3:00 – 3:30	Wrap Up	<i>Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS; Travis Hardy, ArdenMC</i>
3:30	Adjourn	<i>Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC</i>



Federal Geographic Data Committee Coordination Group Meeting ACTION ITEMS Through January 13, 2015

On Hold		
Lead:	Steve Lewis, DOT	Action#: 20140610-04
Action:	Steve Lewis and other contacts at the Department of Transportation will work together with Tim Trainor (Census Bureau) to draft a proposal regarding the possibility of DOT hosting a repository for a potential National Address Database. They will provide this proposal to the FGDC Secretariat by June 26.	
Contact:	Steve Lewis, DOT, steve.lewis@dot.gov	
Resolution/ Response:	This action is on hold, pending maturation of planning for the database. Updates will be provided to the CG as progress is made.	
Pending		
Lead:	Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS	Action#: 20140909-03
Action:	Engage FDGC to recognize the “Guidance on the Selection and Appraisal of Geospatial Content of Enduring Value” document in an official capacity.	
Contact:	Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC, OS, ideloatch@usgs.gov	
Resolution/ Response:	FGDC addressed this topic on the Business report	
Due Date: January 13, 2015		
Lead:	Coordination Group	Action#: 20141209-02
Action:	CG members are asked to assist theme leads on the Baseline Assessment Support group, members who would like to participate in this group should contact Wendy Blake-Coleman	
Contact:	Wendy Blake-Coleman, Blake-Coleman.Wendy@epa.gov	
Resolution/ Response:		
Due Date: January 13, 2015		
Lead:	Coordination Group	Action#: 20141209-03
Action:	Coordination Group members who would like to partner and contribute to the funding buy-up for the Alaska imagery should contact Dick Vraga, dvraga@usgs.gov .	
Resolution/ Response:		
Contact:	Dick Vraga, USGS, rsvraga@usgs.gov	
Complete		
Lead:	Lucia Foulkes	Action#: 20141209-04
Action:	Include links to videos on Geodetic Datums on the minutes.	
Contact:	Lucia Foulkes, FGDC, OS, lfoulkes@usgs.gov	
Resolution/ Response:	Link to video on Geodetic Datums has been included in the minutes.	
Due Date: February 17, 2015		
Lead:	FGDC Secretariat	Action#: 20150113-01

Action:	The FGDC Secretariat will create a slide for the Coordination Group that will have a summary of actions and deadlines of the NSDI Strategic Plan and the NGDA Management Plan to identify the CG 2015 work plan items.	
Resolution/Response:		
Contact:	<i>Lucia Foulkes, FGDC OS, lfoulkes@usgs.gov</i>	
Due Date:		
Lead:	Coordination Group	Action#: 20150113-02
Action:	The Coordination Group will create a CG 2015 work plan.	
Contact:		
Resolution/Response:		
Due Date: February 17, 2015		
Lead:	Coordination Group	Action#: 20150113-03
Action:	The Coordination Group will revise the Charter and define the relationship of Theme Leads.	
Resolution/Response:		
Contact:		
Due Date: February 3, 2015		
Lead:		Action#: 20150113-04
Action:	The Secretariat will solicit agenda topics from the Coordination Group 2 weeks prior meetings.	
Contact:		
Resolution/Response:		
Due Date: February 17, 2015		
Lead:	John Mahoney	Action#: 20150113-05
Action:	The Coordination Group will hold a working session to identify responsibilities on the NSDI Strategic Plan Implementation actions and use it as basis for the CG 2015 work plan.	
Contact:	<i>John Mahoney, FGDC OS, jmahoney@usgs.gov</i>	
Resolution/Response:		
Due Date: March 10, 2015		
Lead:	Jerry Johnston	Action#: 20150113-06
Action:	Hold a working session to provide a live demo to learn tools and capabilities of the Geoplatform, identify roles of the Coordination Group and Geoplatform development.	
Resolution/Response:	Live demo will be prepared for March's CG meeting.	
Contact:	<i>Lucia Foulkes, FGDC OS, lfoulkes@usgs.gov</i>	

Due Date:		
Lead:	Subcommittees and Working Groups	Action#: 20150113-07
Action:	The Coordination Group Subcommittee and Working Groups will report at least annually.	
Contact:	<i>Lucia Foulkes, FGDC, OS, lfoulkes@usgs.gov</i>	
Resolution/ Response:		
Due Date: February 17, 2015		
Lead:	Coordination Group	Action#: 20150113-08
Action:	Hold a working session on how the CG will develop meeting agendas. Considerations to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include Working Groups, Subcommittee, and Theme reports • Working Session topics • Agenda topics 	
Contact:	<i>Lucia Foulkes, FGDC OS, lfoulkes@usgs.gov</i>	
Resolution/ Response:		

ID (yyyymmdd- #)	Decision/Description Decisions provide a position/foundation on which actions are taken.
20150113-01	The Coordination Group agreed to have in-person meetings. Audio conference and WebEx will also be available.
20150113-02	The Coordination Group decided to have a summary of FGDC key activities over the last time frame. The business reports will be emailed as a read ahead document. This will allow the Coordination group to focus on recent FGDC business actions, and changes only.
20150113-03	The Coordination Group agreed to allocate a time on CG agendas to allow participants to bring issues, present or make general announcements.



Federal Geographic Data Committee Coordination Group Meeting MEETING MINUTES January 13, 2015

(Note: Primary CG members are indicated in **blue text**; alternate CG members are indicated in **red text**)

√	Coordination Group Attendees	Organization	√	Attendee	Organization
	Shirley Hall	USDA- Farm Service Agency		Robert Welsh	DOI – Office of Surface Mining
x	Marisa Capriotti	USDA – Natural Resources Conservation Service		Christina Lett	DOI – US Fish & Wildlife Service
	Betsy Kanalley	USDA – US Forest Service		Bill Wilen	DOI – US Fish & Wildlife Service
	Marianne Burke	USDA – US Forest Service	x	Ivan DeLoatch	DOI – USGS/FGDC; Chair, CG
	Juliana Blackwell	DOC – National Geodetic Survey	x	Dick Vraga	DOI – USGS
x	Tony LaVoi	DOC – NOAA		Edward Hugler	Dept of Labor – OASAM
x	Lynda Liptrap	DOC – Census Bureau		Cecelia Henderson	Dept of State
x	Anne O'Connor	DOC – Census Bureau		Mark Bradford	DOT - Research & Innovative Technology Admin
x	William Spencer James	DOD – NGA	x	Raquel Hunt	DOT – Federal Railroad Admin
x	David LaBranche	DOD – DISDI		Jimmy Amoaka-Atta	Dept of Treasury
	Mitchell Fiedler	DOD – DISDI		Pheakdey Lim	Dept of Veterans' Affairs
	Nancy Blyler	DOD – US Army Corps of Engineers		Tom Garin	Dept of Veterans' Affairs
x	Bill Nye	DOD – US Army Corps of Engineers	x	Wendy Blake-Coleman	EPA
	Tai Phan	Dept. of Education – Institute of Ed Statistics		Dave Catlin	EPA
	Vacant	Dept. of Energy		Donald Campbell	FCC

x	Stephanie Foster	DHHS – CDC		Michel Kareis	GSA
x	Lew Summers	DHS – Office of the CIO		George Deyckere	GSA
	Dennis Hardy	DHS		Jacqueline Nolan	Library of Congress
	Jon Sperling	HUD – Policy Development & Research		Vacant	NASA
	Salvatore Sclafani	HUD - Office of Community Planning & Development		Vacant	NASA
	David Chase	HUD – Policy Development & Research		Vacant	NARA
	Antoinette Sebastian	HUD – Office of Environment & Energy		Pamela Stephens	National Science Foundation
	Don Buhler	DOI - BLM		Stuart Reiter	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
	Doug Vandegraft	DOI – Bureau of Ocean Energy Mgmt, Regulation & Enforcement		Asghar Noor	Small Business Administration
	Steven Schwartz	DOI - BOEMRE		David Timmons	Social Security Administration
	David Duran	DOI – Nat’l Park Service		Ray Mitchell	Tennessee Valley Authority
	Tim Smith	DOI – Nat’l Park Service			
x	Lucie Vogel	HHS			
	Other Attendees	Organization		Attendee	Organization
x	Bill Burgess	NSGIC	x	Anne Ball	NOAA
x	Ken Shaffer	FGDC OS	x	Leah Schwizer	FGDC OS, PMO
x	Roxanne Lamb	FGDC OS	x	Gita Urban-Mathieu	FGDC OS
x	Lucia Foulkes	FGDC OS		Nathan Beck	FDA-HHS
x	John Mahoney	FGDC OS		Lorri Peltz-Lewis	FS
x	Julie Maitra	FGDC OS		Michael Donnelly	DHS
x	Jennifer Carlino	FGDC OS	x	Travis Hardy	FGDC OS, PMO
	Larry Hothem	DOI-USGS		Charles Hickman	DOI-USGS

	Ashley Chapell	NOAA		James Garster	DOD – US Army Corps of Engineers
x	Marcia McNiff	DOI-USGS		Kristie McLeroy	USDA
	Linda Zellmer	WIU		Butch Lazorchak	LOC
	Robby Wilson	NOAA		Susan Harris	FGDC OS
x	Jim Irvine	FGDC, PMO			

Welcome, Workshop Overview and Expectations, Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS

Ivan DeLoatch, welcomed members and provided a summary of recent FGDC Business activities. The Executive Committee meeting with Jennifer Gimbel will take place on January 29. The National Geospatial Advisory Committee (NGAC) meeting will be on March 17th through the 18th, and the Steering Committee meeting will be on March 19th.

It was reported that in December 2014, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) draft was completed and was given to agencies for review. The report included 9 recommendations, 3 specifically for FGDC activities. Comments are due Friday, January 16. The final report is anticipated to be released in February. Mr. DeLoatch highlighted that the goal of the meeting is to revitalize the Coordination Group, gain participation and refocus efforts.

Where We Are today, Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS

Presentation, PPT

Ivan invited participants to reflect on past meetings and identify what worked and what did not work. Some of the goals noted included the need to realign the Coordination Group within the NSDI Strategic Plan and the NGDA MP actions, the development draft framework for work plan to lay the foundation for how the CG will conduct its business moving forward, identify roles and responsibilities, and improving engagement and strengthening partnerships.

Mr. DeLoatch shared the comments received on revitalization efforts of the Coordination Group meetings. A summary of the comments included:

- Reporting should focus more on key accomplishments
- Information is redundant
- Business items should be handled through monthly written updates
- Use a blog or list serve push for routine items
- Consider new meeting venues rotation
- Reevaluate the frequency and length of the meetings
- Create incentives to participate in person
- More interaction with the Steering and Executive Committees.

A timeline of the FGDC major activities, initiatives, and accomplishments since the Coordination Group last meeting in 2009 was shown. Mr. DeLoatch pointed out that the expectation of the meeting is to have an interactive dialog to address the following questions:

- What should be the current role of the CG in relation to the FGDC Steering Committee and Executive Committee?
- How should the CG interact with Data Themes, Subcommittees, and Working Groups? (*i.e. coordination and reporting mechanisms*)
- What are the current NSDI Strategic Plan priorities and how does the CG align with those priorities to support execution? (*structure, meeting cadence , etc.*)
- What is the CG's role in helping to operationalize the Geospatial Platform?
- Time Invested vs. Value Received – How to make CG meetings more productive and generate value for your organizations?
- What are the preferred meeting locations, format, and logistics going forward to best support CG activities?

It was noted that the Coordination Group faces challenges in the areas of government and oversight, such as political transitions and leadership turnovers, funding issues that involved sequestration and procurement delays, and balancing of duties/roles and responsibilities.

- Comments:
 - David LaBranche, DOD, commented that adding a slide presentation with activities and deliverables of the NSDI Strategic Plan, and the NGDA Management Plan will help the Coordination Group to identify goals, actions items and deadlines.
 - Dick Vraga, USGS, pointed out that to the same slide, we need to add “and here are the advantages that agencies received from the coordination group efforts” What are the values and benefits”?
 - Bill Wilen, FWS, pointed out that agencies are experiencing funding fatigue. He commented “our agencies meet our own needs, but not other agency’s needs.” He added that is necessary to engage the CG and see establishment of relationship with the subcommittees. Think creatively on how to communicate. A-16 sharing of information, repurposing and sustaining data, shared services across agencies.
 - Donald Campbell, FCC, noted the need of understanding the clientele and their requirements/regulations.
 - Spencer James, NGA, suggested to review and reevaluate the charter.
 - Bill Burges, NSGIC, challenged the CG to think about FGDC as a whole, and what should be accomplished by the FGDC as a unit. Stakeholders want more exposure to content. Mr. Burguess suggested to broadcast FGDC business reports to listserv. Additionally, it was proposed not to eliminate webinar as needed.
 - Lynda Liptrap US, Census Bureau, proposed to review charter and find out what structure supports the portfolio management.
 - Stephanie Foster, DHHS, proposed to keep webinar due to funding constraints to travel to meetings.
 - Dick Vraga indicated that the Coordination Group needs to engage more with other committees, more active involvement of the Steering Committee.
 - Ann Ball, NOAA, pointed out that having a webinar is a necessity.
 - Michel Kareis, GSA expressed the need to have incentives to come to attend the meetings in person. Encourage participation.

- Marisa Capriotti, USDA, NRCS argued that face to face might affect negatively the meetings by reducing attendance.

A summary of the group discussion included:

- Propose balance between webinar and face to face meetings (documentation/assistance for supporting those outside DC), how do other handle this as extra work “above and beyond?”
- Positive social pressure to be engaged face-to-face.
- Stakeholders would like to join for sections or components via webinar.
- Aim to still include webinar but stress and encourage face-to-face with DC area POCs.
- FCC offers to host.

Decision # 20150113-01: The Coordination Group will have in-person meeting. Audio conference and webex will also available.

Decision # 20150113-02: The Coordination Group decided to have a summary of FGDC key activities over the last time frame. The business reports will be emailed as a read ahead document. This will allow the Coordination group to focus on recent actions, and changes only.

Action # 20150113-01: The FGDC Secretariat will create a slide for the Coordination Group that will have a summary of actions and deadlines of the NSDI Strategic Plan and the NGDA Management Plan to identify the CG 2015 work plan items.

Action # 20150113-02: The Coordination Group will create a CG 2015 work plan.

Action # 20150113-03: The Coordination Group will revise the Charter and define the relationship of Theme Leads.

Current Body of Work, Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS

[Presentation, PPT](#)

Ivan DeLoatch provided an outline of the FGDC /Coordination Group Body of work for 2015 that included:

- Priorities
 - Geospatial Platform
 - National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI) Strategic Plan
 - National Geospatial Data Asset Management Plan (NGDA MP) and Theme Lead/Dataset Manager activities.
- Initiatives
 - Open Water
 - Climate Data
- Ongoing Work
 - CG operations

Mr. DeLoatch provided an update on FGDC priorities, initiatives and ongoing work. It was highlighted that the FGDC serves as a conduit to accomplish the execution of action items. The Coordination Group has a role in the NGDA Management Plan and NSDI Strategic Plan. The Geoplatform has significant stakeholder involvement in providing data and collecting data. It was proposed that the charter should be revised annually and use it as a work plan.

- Comments:
 - How can we use the Geospatial Platform to support our activities?
 - Re-purposing for using these tools are very important to support the execution of our plan
 - Question: How do we align subcommittee and theme lead activities?
 - Lynda Liptrap noted that “Addresses” will be a good way to learn the interaction of the subcommittees and the coordination role to identify responsible party to execute plans
 - Lucie Vogel commented “what are some of the areas that you haven’t been able to get done and how can the CG help?”
 - Bill Burgess pointed out that what is missing from discussion is business requirements to build work plans to justify CG’s activities.
 - Dick Vraga noted that business requirements and expanding extra resources can be brought up to the Steering Committee

Future Activities, Travis Hardy, ArdentMC

Brainstorming Activities and Developing Recommendation- Group Discussion

Travis Hardy, FGDC PMO facilitated a group discussion to address questions concerning the Coordination group operations. Questions and comments were noted as follows:

- What should be the current role of the CG in relation to the FGDC Steering Committee and Executive Committee?
 - Understand role, but priorities are not clear
 - Need participation of the Executive Committee. The Steering Committee’s role should be to ensure we have right people actively engaged in the Coordination Group
 - Charter should be reviewed and revised based on where we are today
 - Consensus around idea of CG being gateway to FGDC – place to vet new ideas/initiatives, should seek/advertise role, be repository for new ideas (from the outside/external stakeholders). Be the greeter for new groups, help them, shepherd new ideas
 - Add new roles into Charter
 - CG has become process oriented, not results (need meetings to focus on goals/objectives/outcomes, de-emphasize process). CG voted to be Operational which led to Strategic Plans – but what changed? Why were we not successful?
 - Confusion over who/what/why we have Working Groups and Subcommittees
 - Bridge communication gap with existing members and new members
 - Internal policies within agencies to identify and define role to lay out responsibility to participate in FGDC – expand across agency, not just 1 POC/liaison – stress internal coordination

- How should the Coordination Group interact with Data Themes, Subcommittees, and Working Groups? (*i.e. coordination and reporting mechanisms*)
 - CG should be asking specific information from the Subcommittees, Data Theme and Working groups
 - CG would like to see more accomplishments rather than routine reports
 - CG should evaluate and make recommendations (i.e Standards)
 - Encourage correct participation
 - Learn responsibilities and group reporting requirements
 - More interaction with the groups, and Theme Leads
 - Coordinate across working groups and subcommittees
 - Theme Leads should report on status of activities
 - Invite Theme Leads to CG meetings
 - Include standard time in the meeting to allow groups to raise issues or to present a topic
 - Determine the agenda items for next meetings
 - Routine status reports should be posted online or sent via listserv
 - Reporting of Theme Leads and Working Groups should be once a year
 - Consider using guidance like the NGAC to identify annual work plans, and to utilize the Geoplatform capabilities as a place to obtain information on routine items, current activities, status reports.

Decision # 20150129-03: Allocate a time on the Coordination Group agenda to allow participants to bring issues, present or make general announcements

Action # 20150113-04: The Secretariat will solicit agenda topics from the Coordination Group 2 weeks prior meetings.

- What are the current NSDI Strategic Plan priorities and how does the CG align with those priorities to support execution? (*structure, meeting cadence, etc.*)
 - Based on goals and objectives of the NSDI Strategic Plan the Coordination Group should be involved in reference architecture and governance of the Platform by coordinating between A -16 Themes and other Platform Themes.
 - Provide recommendation to Steering and Executive Committee on potential acquisition of dataset that will benefit member's organizations.
 - Obtain report on success histories of value from agencies of the Coordination Group to encourage other agencies to join the CG.
 - Recommend the SAOGIs, Steering and Executive Committee members that Coordination Group activities are built into performance plans to allow participants the time to work on CG milestones.
 - The Coordination Group is not informed of the NSDI Strategic Plan business transactions. There is a disconnection between the Coordination Group, the Steering and Executive Committees.
 - Clarification about roles of themes, working group and governance is needed.

- Question: What is the relationship of the CG governing the contract support to enable and assist with objectives? Or is the contract support operating at the Executive Committee directions.
 - The CG has significant roles guiding the contract. They do have a role on the execution of the tasks. Is necessary to detail these actions that are associated with these plans and the CG should determine where their efforts should be focused on. This contract is accessible to everybody.
 - The NSDI Strategic Plan Outreach and communications action is an opportunity for the CG to shape that work. Also, the CG could be involved on the acquisition strategy that starts in 2015.

- What is the CG's role in helping to operationalize the Geospatial Platform?
 - Have a Subgroup of the CG Portfolio Management team to evaluate Geospatial Platform
 - Place FGDC business reports, minutes notes to the Geospatial Platform.
 - Marketplace – encouraging use and reviewing utilization, focusing on A-16 data needs to assist in data coordination
 - Assist with coordination across communities
 - Focus on goals of A-16 Communities first – “more is not always better” (data/communities/etc.)
 - Look at DHS internal efforts: best practices for searching across portals/domains
 - If CG is responsible for Annual Report – push to include metrics on the GP to understand what/how is being used – institutionalize, force discussion, joint effort
 - Metrics on usage is a priority for the new contract staff
 - Jerry Johnston needs to be advocate for agencies with Data.Gov interactions, raise issues
 - The CG should be involved on the execution of the NSDI Strategic Plan - meet the milestones.

- Time Invested vs. Value Received – How to make CG meetings more productive and generate value for your organizations?
 - Cadence of the meeting is consistent. Would like to see key points rather than reports of the Subcommittees and Working Groups.
 - Web re-design; dashboard style for quick access to status of activities
 - Charismatic leader who is very engaged and is willing to invest time, willing to meet with executives.
 - Question to group: What will motivate you to come to the meetings?
 - Educational opportunity
 - Keep GAO off our back
 - Work collaboratively on standards across agencies
 - Share best practices, export standardized data collection
 - Accomplish actionable items.
 - Opportunities to network
 - FGDC business items could be provided at end of the meetings
 - Stay focus on priorities and follow the charter
 - Take quick breaks in between sessions to facilitate time management

- What are the preferred meeting locations, format, and logistics going forward to best support CG activities?
 - Improved remote capabilities and have a visible attendance list with agency info on it
 - Use microphone, abbreviated roll call, and live chat.
 - Established capable location rather than moveable location
 - Visual notes
 - Quick note and action items distribution
 - Change my USGS site to something better
 - Larger quarterly meetings (half day or one day) and shorter monthly meetings (1 hour or 2) with standard agendas.
 - In person meeting could be the quarterly ones for more in depth topics.
 - To have a more conversational meetings, especially quarterly. Perhaps have a facilitator
 - FGDC Business report should have changes only, not to reiterate what was said before
 - Investigate the use of blogs, listserv and websites
 - Would like to see more agency topics and issues
 - Is a Co-Chair really needed?
 - Agencies should chair and rotate annually
 - One of the roles of the Co-chair is to participate in the Executive Committee meetings, that's something to consider as it has value for the CG.

Wrap Up, Ivan DeLoatch, FGDC OS; Travis Hardy, ArdentMC

Ivan DeLoatch thanked members for participating and providing feedback.

A summary of actionable items and additional comments were noted as follows:

- Revisit the Charter
- Identify CG roles on tasks plans of the NSDI Strategic Plan Implementation
- Revise goals of the Geoplatform, provide a live demo- showcase what works prior of the CG meeting.
- Provide new members with materials to familiarize with roles and responsibilities
- Do we want external stakeholders engaged? If not, need to have some other way to keep them informed and part of the FGDC community
- Plan annual presentation from each Subcommittee and Working Groups.
- Prepare for upcoming political transition – how do we keep momentum?
- Ask for reinforcements to add this to job descriptions/performance plans
- Understood Unity of Action
- What will be the follow up of this meeting?
 - Notes, summaries, etc.
 - Draft framework for CG Work Plan to then go back to group for review
 - Document 'artifacts for action'

Action # 20150113-05: The Coordination Group will hold a working session to identify responsibilities on the NSDI Strategic Plan Implementation actions and use it as basis for the CG 2015 work plan.

Action # 20150113-06: Hold a working session to provide a live demo to learn tools and capabilities of the Geoplatform, identify roles of the Coordination Group and Geoplatform development.

Action # 20150113-07: The Coordination Group Subcommittee and Working Groups will report at least annually.

Action # 20150113-08: Hold a working session on how the CG will develop meeting agendas. Considerations to include:

- Include Working Groups, Subcommittee, and Theme reports
- Agenda topics

Adjourn