

Summary of Survey Coordination Group Members 22 responses

Education Level: **5** Bachelors **11** Masters **6** PhD

Years of Federal government service: **4-35 years, 460 total, 21 year ave**

Number of years participating in Coordination Group: **0-15, 123 total, 5.6 yr ave**

What position do you hold within your agency? **Large Variation in Positions: listing provided below**

What is your reporting relationship to the SAOGI? **9** Direct **14** Indirect

About how frequently do you communicate with the SAOGI?

3 Daily **5** Weekly **5** Monthly **5** Quarterly **4** Unclear/not at all

Are you in a supervisory position? **7** Yes **15** No

Does your agency have spatial data stewardship responsibilities? **15**Yes **7** No

What is your assessment of the relative value of the Coordination Group?

- a. Relevant **14**
- b. Irrelevant **5**
- c. Undecided **3**

About what percentage of your work time is spent on Coordination group matters?
(To the Nearest 5%) **0—50%, 10% mode**

Which word best describes resources beyond your time that are available to you to support Coordination Group activities?

- a. Marginal **14**
- b. Adequate **8**
- c. Robust

Which word best describes your agency's priority given to Coordination Group activities?

- a. Low **8**
- b. Appropriate **14**
- c. High

In your position, which word best describes your internal agency coordination?

- a. Strong **10**
- b. Limited **9**
- c. Challenge **3**

Summary of Survey Coordination Group Members 22 responses

What are your Top Three Priorities that you would like the Coordination Group address?

1. Geocoding that my department can use
2. Geo web services served up from other agencies
3. Geo data offered by other agencies for Unclassified use
4. Improve effectiveness and drive to implementable decisions
5. Establish and track performance metrics for Group and subcommittees
6. Align functions with OMB Circular A-16 to implement & support a national GIS
7. Interagency Coordination of Programs Producing Geospatial Data
8. Identify Authoritative Data Sources produced by the Federal Government that are either legislative or directly tied to a mission
9. Develop a Realistic Strategy (that is not based on getting additional funding) for developing and sharing aerial photography and elevation data across the Federal Government
10. Quantifiable definition/profile of the NSDI. The physical manifestation, % complete and projected annual % improvement.
11. Quantifiable reprioritization of FGDC / GLoB activities to meet the NSDI 100% completion
12. Quantifiable definition/profile of The National Map (TNM). TNM physical manifestation, data prioritization by contributing partners & stakeholders and M&O plan.
13. Metadata and its standards (good work, keep it up)
14. Cooperative resource sharing
15. Insure deposit of data to repository institutions
16. Strengthen its charter and responsibilities
17. Know all the geospatial data sets that are under the A-16 & Homeland Security data themes
18. Develop procedures for management of data sets
19. CG role and responsibilities
20. Coordination with the Steering Committee
21. Working groups/sub committees
22. Roles & responsibilities
23. Priorities
24. Standards
25. Fostering creation of more consensus-based standards
26. Coordinating across federal agencies to translate business requirements into geospatial data & services requirements
27. Once we know what we are, what we have, and what we need at the federal level, the Coordination Group should address coordination of these federal needs and capabilities with tribal, state & local stakeholders
28. Reassign stewardship of Transportation and start coordinating
29. Use of Exhibit-300 reporting compliance to coordinate geospatial investments
30. Reprioritize (*with the entire CG present*) the activities of subcommittees & GLoB WGs. The GLoB should be what the entire FGDC is about--Leveraging Federal investments and resources to realize completion of the NSDI.
31. Finding data sets
32. Data set format & accessibility

Summary of Survey Coordination Group Members 22 responses

33. Plain English explanation of offerings
34. Figure out how to deal with low-priorities like ours – less reporting requirements
35. Expedite addition of adequate geospatial requirements boilerplate to the FAR
36. Catalyze action on politically and managerially effective de-stove piping of the entire Federal geospatial budgeting process
37. Actually becoming/being the undisputed primary Federal NSDI manager
38. Relationship to Steering Committee
39. How Coordination Group members are appointed
40. Plan to elevate responsibilities and re-establish importance
41. Provide simpler collaborative platform – web site facilitation/ list serves/ sharepoint.
42. Enhance communication within Agencies (from lower end users to higher executives).
43. Increase support and accountability for contribution to geodata.gov and other metadata sites.
44. Good governance/Leadership
45. Transformation Initiatives
46. Standards
47. Making Standards Stick
48. Clarification of Coordination Group role in relation to FGDC working groups/subcommittees, Geo LoB work groups, NGAC, etc.
49. Working more closely within the FEA structure to identify shared infrastructure and common services opportunities
50. Identifying data investment priorities based on business requirements and presenting a strong case for those priorities to the Steering committee
51. Establishing better linkages and alignment of internal FGDC activities to each other as well as with administration initiatives and priorities and Federal agency operational activities so we are all rowing in the same direction
52. A-16 Coordination
53. A-16 Responsibilities
54. Funding
55. Cross-jurisdictional relationships at the technical level to efficiently and effectively manage authoritative public information to support formulation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of national policies.
56. The creation, comparison and publication of a detailed list / catalogue of basic Reference Base Map data sets (both commercial and public). Inventory matrix and gap analysis to include level of integration. (Operational, organizational, computational, visual, statistical.....) Data sets, not themes, granular not generalized.
57. Data Centric Geographic Information Services and Training for geo-enabling the business of government. Government-wide geo-referencing service with automated assignment of Federal Information Processing Codes.
58. Providing the current one is successful, continue with ‘Smart Buy’ endeavors to allow all access to software, maintenance, etc at lower costs. Look at possibilities for GPS, Data sharing services, etc. etc.
59. Focus on coordinating spending for data collection and also for data sharing.

