CY 2018 NGAC Self-Assessment

December 6, 2018 NGAC Meeting
What’s Going Well

• Appreciation for the diversity of the NGAC
  • Rich discussions
  • Impactful work products
  • Perpetual knowledge transfer
  • Collaborative environment; results oriented
• In-person meetings provide good ROI, especially Shepherdstown
• Briefings from reps from government agencies useful
• Emerging tech briefings helpful
• Subcommittee work focused and effective
• Genuine interest and support from senior government leaders is meaningful
Areas for Improvement

• Extending Shepherdstown by just one day would add significant value
• Strong desire for feedback from FGDC & others regarding impact of NGAC advice, counsel, and work products
• Consider meeting outside of the DC metro area (Put the “N” in NGAC)
• Desire for more in-person subcommittee meeting time
• Better balance of presentations versus discussions
• Strong support for selection of subcommittee topic areas (relevancy)
• Consider adding a more junior member(s) for added perspective
Some Random Thoughts

• Survey the FGDC to solicit feedback on the NGAC’s impact
• Consider unique composition of LAG as a model for other subcommittee work
• Frustration could grow if FGDC initiatives are not implemented and adequately funded
• Deep appreciation for learning from one another
• Renew our commitment to our one another and the mission by both attending the meetings (in full) and by being present at meetings