

**1. My overall experience with the webinar is positive.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		38.5%	5
<b>Agree</b>		<b>61.5%</b>	<b>8</b>
Neutral		0.0%	0
Disagree		0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree		0.0%	0
	Other (please specify)		4
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>13</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

**2. The webinar has enabled me to apply the topic in my work.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		7.7%	1
<b>Agree</b>		<b>84.6%</b>	<b>11</b>
Neutral		7.7%	1
Disagree		0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree		0.0%	0
	Other (please specify)		4
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>13</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

### 3. The materials provided me with useful information.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree		30.8%	4
<b>Agree</b>		<b>69.2%</b>	<b>9</b>
Neutral		0.0%	0
Disagree		0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree		0.0%	0
	Other (please specify)		3
	<b>answered question</b>		<b>13</b>
	<b>skipped question</b>		<b>0</b>

### 4. With regard to the ISO webinar, what worked for you? (because we want to continue doing the things we are doing right)

	Response Count
	13
<b>answered question</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

### 5. With regard to the ISO webinar, what did NOT work for you? (Because if you do not tell us what is wrong, we cannot fix the problem)

	Response Count
	13
<b>answered question</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

## 6. What topics or issues should we address the next time?

	Response Count
	13
answered question	13
skipped question	0

## 7. What question did we forget to ask, but we really need to hear?

	Response Count
	13
answered question	13
skipped question	0

**Page 1, Q1. My overall experience with the webinar is positive.**

1	Doug's presentation was very helpful to increase my understanding of how CKAN was working, and some of how it integrates with the new data.gov. Plus, I feel much more on-board now that I have seen the map search in action. It was helpful to get the perspective from some of attendees.	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	The webinar seemed well run and well organized, even though there were a few technical difficulties.	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	I especially thank Jaci for her willingness to engage so passionately in discussion with the group, who are coming at this problem from many different perspectives. I'm also really glad Peter Schweitzer was involved -- he has a good reputation as a "cooler head" who knows this topic very well from his years of promoting and facilitating metadata. (If it wasn't for Peter's tireless and consistent efforts, I like to say, CSDGM would have gone the way of SDTS!)	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM
4	I learned a lot about moving ISO forward in my agency	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM

**Page 1, Q2. The webinar has enabled me to apply the topic in my work.**

1	Yes, it was helpful; even with unlimited information and widely available tools, it can still be a challenge to have 'robust' metadata. I think even with an "unlimited" metadata budget, it would be a bit of a challenge, just because it's still a bit tedious. From an agency perspective, I think this puts more emphasis on Best Practices and Conventions. It was a reassuring reminder that others have similar issues, and have wrestled with them in different ways. From a training perspective, there was always a question of when ISO? Now, not unexpectedly, it's WHY ISO. So, while it may seem that ISO is a bit hard to sell, let's face it -- metadata can be hard to sell. It's like saying, "Okay, now we are going to do our footnotes and references" YAY!!! We know it's important but not always easy, and never fun :) I know in our office, we are always fighting over who gets to do it :)	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	I am glad you did this as a webinar because it opened the meeting up to many attendees who would have never been invited or been able to attend a physical meeting.	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	I am very interested in the answer to the question about using ArcGIS (ArcCatalog) and existing transformation tools to convert from FGDC CSDGM to ISO. and whether one can use existing ESRI products to successfully migrate to create an acceptable ISO file.	Apr 17, 2013 12:50 PM
4	More tools would be great but it does appear that it's the next webinar.	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM

**Page 1, Q3. The materials provided me with useful information.**

1	I liked the implementation model workflow. Lynda did a great job at that and presenting the overview. I loved having the variety of perspectives from the different agencies. I like the idea of having a guidance document using the standard, in sort of the way that NAP provided. I would love it if FGDC could "cull" the ISO Explorer from the NOAA wiki and make it a FGDC Metadata Community wiki (so that it wouldn't get intermingled with other NOAA stuff). Maybe align this with Doug's Best Practices for the CKAN. And just keep it lean with high level information from each FGDC agency. Just a few thoughts.	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	I am looking forward to seeing the slides, Q & A's and other materials on the web. I want to refer my supervisor (who was not able to listen in) to some of this material.	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	The presentations were very very helpful. The participation from the vastly different agencies was really helpful.	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM



**Page 1, Q4. With regard to the ISO webinar, what worked for you? (because we want to continue doing the things we are doing right)**

1	Keeping the timeline was good; I think. Jen had a wonderful demeanor that makes you (at least it worked for me) feel engaged; she did an excellent job. Even if the technology was new to her (especially as an organizer, I think she did a great job of keeping a relaxed atmosphere. Good job! The other speakers did a good job too.	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	The second day's information was most useful to me. My agency uses ArcGIS/ArcCatalog for metadata, and since I had already taken the NOAA ISO training, the first day was not very useful to me. I did like hearing about the changes in data.gov and am looking forward to reading the best practices document. My agency has provided very little metadata to data.gov and we have a lot of work to get our metadata to a consistent level for data consumption at this level. I also liked the case studies and hearing how others are dealing with the migration. I have been a strong supporter of moving to ISO metadata but have met with a lot of foot dragging within my agency. It's not that the others do not see the need to eventually get there, but many are not comfortable with change, have a lot of time and money invested in applications and processes devoted to CSDGM, or just haven't taken the time to see that it is not the big scary monster under the bed.	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	Liked the Questions Prompt but could you get them to pop-up on screen as people ask the question during each presentation.	Apr 17, 2013 1:10 PM
4	The agency implementation examples were good! The data.gov overview was very good as well, and sharing the knowledge about where that effort is going is very helpful for agencies developing implementation plans.	Apr 17, 2013 12:56 PM
5	The chat moderation was very well done. Kudos to Jennifer C! It was great to have the chat discussion moderated by a non-presenter! Length was just about right for a very intensive meeting.	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM
6	I liked the online format since travel is not an option.	Apr 17, 2013 12:52 PM
7	Overview of ISO Standard. Relation to other ISO instances. Use Cases from agencies	Apr 17, 2013 12:51 PM
8	I really liked this format. I'm working from home, so because I didn't have the time to look into this before-hand, I wonder if the phone number is toll free?? I recommend that you keep the master copy of each slideshow within a web location that's accessible to all of us, provide us the link and we can refer to the slides as we need to knowing that we will always be viewing the most up to date version.	Apr 17, 2013 12:50 PM
9	Good presentations, good discussions. I appreciated sharing in the questions other attendees asked.	Apr 17, 2013 12:48 PM
10	Time of day and length (although four hours for webinar is pushing the limits of what is effective for me).	Apr 17, 2013 12:47 PM
11	It was good...	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM
12	Good breadth of topic presented by subject matter experts.	Apr 17, 2013 12:44 PM

**Page 1, Q4. With regard to the ISO webinar, what worked for you? (because we want to continue doing the things we are doing right)**

13 mostly successful

Apr 17, 2013 12:41 PM

**Page 1, Q5. With regard to the ISO webinar, what did NOT work for you? (Because if you do not tell us what is wrong, we cannot fix the problem)**

1	I think I was expecting a little more interchange from the participants, but that may have been a false expectation on my part, and perhaps a bit difficult given the format. Still working on optimizing interaction in the webinar format, I found. (general grumble, here :))	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	-	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	Some people in my office overheard and were interested to pop into your discussions and presentations throughout their busy day but (per your unique rule instruction) we were not allowed to forward them our link ... I invited them to sit down but that was not possible - could there be another type of call in line that's listen only? If so, I would be in charge to forward your team their contact info.	Apr 17, 2013 1:10 PM
4	I prefer to control my own muting, but I understand where you're coming from with controlling muting on your end.	Apr 17, 2013 12:56 PM
5	Presenters should practice with the goto meeting (juggling displays etc) or if they don't supply slides so an experienced person could share the slides instead of them.	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM
6	I didn't have any problems	Apr 17, 2013 12:52 PM
7	Some presentations were too rushed. Could not follow.	Apr 17, 2013 12:51 PM
8	It all seemed to work well for me. just wondering about the if hte call was toll free as I had to work from home these last two days.	Apr 17, 2013 12:50 PM
9	It was all fine.	Apr 17, 2013 12:48 PM
10	The publishing presentation was over my head with technical details.	Apr 17, 2013 12:47 PM
11	Some of the speakers needed to make sure they were aware of the mic and make sure they didn't fade in and out...	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM
12	A bit long for webinar, but realize this was a fallback scenario.	Apr 17, 2013 12:44 PM
13	dialogue was controlled, which is good, but a bit restrictive - open discussion was inhibited.	Apr 17, 2013 12:41 PM



**Page 1, Q6. What topics or issues should we address the next time?**

1	Would like more information on CKAN as it unfolds and how we can optimize Best Practices there.	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	I would like to have more discussion on ArcGIS as an ISO metadata editor. My agency has really tight computer security (BLM) and getting permission to use anything else to edit metadata is next to impossible. I think some within my agency say ESRI limits us so much as to really being able to benefit from ISO that we should stick with FGDC, or at least wait longer. This doesn't make sense to me. I hate to bring in an ESRI rep, but that may be really what we need, and probably the only way to get answers.	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	Editor tools - limitations, costs, and work-arounds.	Apr 17, 2013 1:10 PM
4	More information on organizing collections and heirarchical metadata. Best practices for slicing/dicing collections? How to handle duplicative metadata records. Ideally as an agency we'd work with other agencies to ensure they're not also exposing our records. Surely there is a good way to indicate authoritative records and sources?	Apr 17, 2013 12:56 PM
5	Would like to hear more from other DOI agencies (BLM, Army Corps, FWS, NPS) sometime. Maybe a DOI-centric webinar would be helpful.	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM
6	Not sure yet. I'm still digesting this.	Apr 17, 2013 12:52 PM
7	Wondering if agencies that have already started with ISO (Census Bureau, NOAA), if they have metrics as to the impact of using ISO. Cost to planning, developing ISO. How they could quantify ISO's return on investment.	Apr 17, 2013 12:51 PM
8	As different agencies develop specific processes for migration, hold webinars sharing and discussing their specific workflows, talk about what tools or processes they may have developed that can be adopted by others, lessons learned, etc...	Apr 17, 2013 12:50 PM
9	Are we really able to use ArcCatalog currently to create an ISO record? Are the transforms sufficient to go from ArcGIS format to ISO?	Apr 17, 2013 12:48 PM
10	There was a lot of information presented and I have not really absorbed it all yet. I do think that overall the webinar has provided me with a lot of important considerations for metadata (ISO or not) management in my agency.	Apr 17, 2013 12:47 PM
11	Tools!	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM
12	maybe step through actual metadata creation using some tools....just brainstorming here.	Apr 17, 2013 12:44 PM
13	path forward	Apr 17, 2013 12:41 PM

**Page 1, Q7. What question did we forget to ask, but we really need to hear?**

1	Can't think of any now :)	Apr 17, 2013 2:15 PM
2	See #6	Apr 17, 2013 1:34 PM
3	Had to leave early on day 1 but it would have been nice to have a poll on this user communities experience, stage of development, if SME or Manager ... not sure if webinar software could accommodate for this.	Apr 17, 2013 1:10 PM
4	Identify others in your agency that would benefit from this webinar and information sharing opportunity.	Apr 17, 2013 12:56 PM
5	I can't think of one. This was definitely the best update I've gotten on where metadata is at.	Apr 17, 2013 12:53 PM
6	None	Apr 17, 2013 12:52 PM
7	no	Apr 17, 2013 12:51 PM
8	I can't think of any at this time.	Apr 17, 2013 12:50 PM
9	How can we get ESRI to support XLinks, maybe through customizations to the Geoportal Server since it already provides a database and many of the functionality to create: Enterprise Documentation System - Document record resolver - Metadata Component Library (for XML snippets tied to XLinks) - UUID assignment - Resolve a DOI name	Apr 17, 2013 12:48 PM
10	I am really intereseted in the answer to the question on the sufficiency of ESRI's metadata editor in respect to the ISO standard.	Apr 17, 2013 12:47 PM
11	Is the FGDC going to come out with recommendations about which ISO standard to use (ie 19115)	Apr 17, 2013 12:46 PM
12	What other specific agency tool/expertise exists that could be utilized by this community?	Apr 17, 2013 12:44 PM
13	n/a	Apr 17, 2013 12:41 PM